Modified October 2025

See footnote b for additional kidney protection strategies			
Medication	Pertinent Studies <sup>a</sup>		
GLP-1 Agonists with	n Cardiovascular and Kidney Benefit (also see Neutral Effects section)		
Dulaglutide  (MACE, c,d kidney benefit)	<ul> <li>REWIND [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had CV disease or CV risk.<sup>12</sup> Over ~5.4 years, reduced a composite of:</li> <li>nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and death from CV or unknown causes [NNT = 71]. Only nonfatal stroke reduction was significant.</li> <li>new macroalbuminuria, 30% decrease in eGFR, or dialysis/transplant [NNT= 40], driven by prevention of macroalbuminuria (exploratory analysis).<sup>35</sup></li> </ul>		
Liraglutide  (MACE, c,d kidney benefit)	LEADER [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had CV disease or high CV risk. Over ~4 years, reduced:  • death from CV causes, NNT = 77; death of any cause, NNT = 71; composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke NNT = 53.  • new macroalbuminuria or doubling of SCr plus eGFR ≤45 mL/min/1.73m², need for dialysis/transplant, or death from kidney causes (NNT =67), driven by prevention of macroalbuminuria (NNT = 83).		
Semaglutide injection  (MACE, kidney benefite [including CKD])	SUSTAIN-6 [Evidence Level A-1]; <b>patients had CV disease, CV risk, or CKD</b> . 16 Over ~2 years, reduced a composite of:  • CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke (NNT = 44). Only nonfatal stroke reduction was significant.  • new onset macroalbuminuria or doubling of SCr plus eGFR ≤45 mL/min/1.73m², need for dialysis/transplant, or death from kidney causes (NNT = 44), <b>driven by prevention of macroalbuminuria</b> .  FLOW [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had <b>CKD</b> with albuminuria ≥100 mg/g.² Over ~3 years, reduced a composite of:  • kidney failure, ≥50% reduction in eGFR, kidney or CV death (NNT = 20; NNT = 42 for kidney-specific outcomes).  STRIDE [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had symptomatic <b>PAD</b> . 44 Over one year, increased:  • walking distance by 13% vs placebo.  SELECT [Evidence Level A-1]; <b>patients had obesity and CV disease without DM</b> . 45 Over ~3 years, decreased:  • a composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke (NNT = 67).		
Semaglutide, oral  (MACE benefit)	SOUL [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had CV disease and/or chronic kidney disease. 17 Over ~4 years, reduced:  • a composite of CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke (NNT = 56) PIONEER [Evidence Level A-1]; most patients had CV disease; others had CKD, HF, or high CV risk. 47 Over 16 months, reduced:  • MACE (NNT = 100).		
GIP/GLP-1 Receptor	r Agonist		
Tirzepatide  (HF benefit)	SUMMIT [Evidence Level A-1]; <b>patients had HFpEF and obesity</b> , and about half had DM. <sup>43</sup> Over ~2 years, reduced: • a composite of CV death or worsening HF (NNT ~ 15 [patients with DM], or NNT ~ 23 [patients without DM]).		
SGLT2 Inhibitors wi	th Kidney and/or Cardiovascular Benefit (also see Neutral Effects section)		
Canagliflozin  (MACE, c,d HF, kidney benefitef)	<ul> <li>CANVAS and CREDENCE [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had very high CV risk.<sup>21,22,32</sup> Reduced a composite of:</li> <li>CV death, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke (26.9 vs 31.5/1,000 patient-years; individual endpoints were not significantly improved).<sup>21</sup></li> <li>ESKD, SCr doubling, or death from kidney causes, driven by doubling of SCr (NNT = 31 over ~2.6 years in CKD patients on RAAS blocker).<sup>22,32</sup></li> </ul>		
Dapagliflozin  (HF, 9,h kidney benefite)	• Reduced HF hospitalization <sup>23</sup> <b>Neutral</b> effect on CV death, MI, or ischemic stroke (composite). <sup>23</sup> Secondary analysis shows kidney benefit. <sup>33</sup> Dapa-HF [Evidence Level A-1]; patients were on standard therapy for class II-IV <b>HFrEF</b> . <sup>25</sup> Over ~1.5 years:		

Modified October 2025

### Medication Pertinent Studies<sup>b</sup> SGLT2 Inhibitors with Kidney and/or Cardiovascular Benefit, continued Dapa-CKD trial [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had CKD and were on standard kidney protective therapy.<sup>24</sup> Dapagliflozin Over ~2.4 years, reduced: **(7)** • a composite of sustained eGFR decline of at least 50%, progression to ESKD, or death from kidney or CV causes (HF,<sup>g,h</sup> kidney (NNT ~19 [patients with DM], NNT ~25 [patients without DM] over ~2.4 years). Of the individual endpoints, only eGFR decline and delayed progression to ESKD were significant.<sup>24</sup> benefit<sup>e</sup>) EMPA-REG OUTCOME [Evidence Level A-1; patients had CV disease.<sup>26</sup> Over ~3 years, reduced a composite of: **Empagliflozin** • CV death, nonfatal MI, and nonfatal stroke (NNT = 62). EMPA-KIDNEY [Evidence Level A-1; patients had CKD, and about half had DM]. 40 Over ~2 years, reduced: (MACE, c,d HF, g,h • a composite of progression of kidney disease and risk of CV death (NNT = 26). Effective with eGFR as low as kidney benefit<sup>e,f</sup>) 20 mL/min/1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. EMPEROR-Reduced trial [Evidence Level A-1]; patients were receiving standard therapy for class II-IV HFrEF.<sup>27</sup> Over ~16 months, reduced: a composite of HF hospitalization or CV death (NNT ~14 [patients with DM] or NNT ~26 [patients without DM]). Composite endpoint driven by HF hospitalizations. 27 EMPEROR-Preserved trial [Evidence Level A-1]; patients were on standard therapy for class II-IV HFDEF. 28 Over ~2 years. a composite of CV death or HF hospitalization (NNT ~29 [patients with DM], or NNT ~33 [patients without DM]), driven by reduction in HF-related hospitalizations (NNT ~31).28 SOLOIST-WHF trial [Evidence Level A-1]; added to usual therapy post-HF hospitalization (few patients had HFpEF). 42 Over Sotagliflozin ~9 months, reduced: **(8)** • a composite of CV death, HF hospitalization, urgent visit for HF (NNT = 6), driven by reduction in HF (HF benefit<sup>g</sup>) hospitalization/urgent visit.42 SCORED [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had CKD and high CV risk). 36 Over ~16 months, reduced: • a composite of CV death and HF hospitalization/urgent visit (NNT ~41), driven by reduction in HF hospitalization/urgent Medications that POTENTIALLY Improve Outcomes Metformin Possibly reduces CV mortality (UKPDS subanalysis; pooled data [Evidence Level B-2]). 4.5 Possibly reduces risk of progression to ESKD [Evidence Level B-3]. 34,46 IRIS trial [Evidence Level A-1]; patients with prediabetes and TIA or stroke history with mild impairment. Over ~5 years may Pioglitazone • the risk of a future stroke or MI (NNT = 36 over ~5 years).<sup>29</sup> PROactive trial [Evidence Level A-1]: patients with macrovascular disease (e.g., MI, stroke, PCI). Over~3 years may reduce:

#### Medications with NEUTRAL Effects

Acarbose: neutral CV effect (ACE trial [Evidence Level A-1]; patients had impaired glucose tolerance and coronary heart disease).<sup>3</sup>

a composite secondary endpoint of all-cause mortality, non-fatal MI, and stroke (NNT = 50).

risk of recurrent fatal or nonfatal stroke (NNT = 22) in patients with previous stroke (subgroup analysis).

- **DPP-4** inhibitors: **INCREASED HF** admission: with recent ACS (alogliptin, NNH = 167) or with high CV risk (saxagliptin, NNH = 143)(EXAMINE; SAVOR-TIMI 53 [Evidence Level A-1]);<sup>6-8</sup> Neutral CV effect: **linagliptin**, sitagliptin (CARMELINA; CAROLINA [Evidence Level A-1].<sup>9-11</sup>
- Insulin: neutral CV effect (insulin glargine use over ~6 years (ORIGIN [Evidence Level A-1])<sup>18</sup>
- GLP-1 agonists with neutral effects (also see Benefit Section, above): exenatide weekly (note reduced death from any cause [NNT = 341]), oral semaglutide (kidney). 13,17,36
- Nateglinide: neutral CV effect (NAVIGATOR trial [Evidence Level A-1]. Patients had impaired glucose tolerance and high CV risk)
- **SGLT2** inhibitors (also see Benefits sections, above): **bexagliflozin** (neutral CV effect);<sup>15</sup> **ertugliflozin** (neutral CV and kidney effect;<sup>19</sup> note that a secondary endpoint suggests ertugliflozin may reduce the risk of HF-related hospitalizations [NNT = 29].<sup>20</sup>)
- Sulfonylureas: neutral CV effect (glimepiride; CAROLINA [Evidence Level A-1]) and kidney effect.<sup>1,11</sup>

A meta-analysis suggests reduced albuminuria [Evidence Level B-2].37



Modified October 2025

#### **Footnotes**

- a. Patients in studies had type 2 diabetes and were receiving standard treatment, unless otherwise noted.
- b. Other strategies to reduce kidney risk:
- Optimize blood pressure and glycemic control. 38,39
- Add an ACEI or ARB for patients with hypertension and albumin/creatinine ratio ≥30 mg/g, and especially if albumin/creatinine ratio ≥300 mg/g or eGFR <60 mL/min/1.73 m².<sup>38</sup> (Canada: Patients with CKD with hypertension or albuminuria.<sup>39</sup>)
- For patients with CKD at increased risk for CV events or kidney disease progression, consider adding finerenone to optimized ACEI or ARB if eGFR ≥25 mL/min/1.73 m² and serum potassium ≤4.8 mEg (mmol)/L.<sup>38</sup>
  - o Spironolactone and eplerenone reduce albuminuria.38
  - o Safety and additive efficacy of finerenone, spironolactone, or eplerenone combined with an SGLT2 inhibitor or GLP-1 agonist are unknown.
- c. FDA-approved MACE benefits.
- d. Health Canada-approved MACE benefits.
- e. FDA-approved kidney benefits.
- f. Health Canada-approved kidney benefit.
- g. FDA-approved HF benefit.
- h. Health Canada-approved HF benefit.

Abbreviations: ACEI = angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ACS = acute coronary syndrome; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; CKD = chronic kidney disease; CV = cardiovascular; DM = diabetes mellitus; DPP-4 inhibitor = dipeptidyl peptidase-4; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; GLP-1 = glucagon-like peptide-1; ESKD = end-stage kidney disease; HF = heart failure; MACE = major adverse cardiovascular events; MI = myocardial infarction; NNH = number needed to harm; NNT = number needed to treat; PAD = peripheral artery disease; RAAS = renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system; SCr = serum creatinine; SGLT2 = sodium-glucose co-transporter 2.

### **Levels of Evidence**

Level	Definition	Study Quality
A	Good-quality patient-oriented evidence.*	1.High-quality randomized controlled trial (RCT)     2.Systematic review (SR)/Meta-analysis of RCTs with consistent findings     3.All-or-none study
В	Inconsistent or limited-quality patient-oriented evidence.*	1.Lower-quality RCT 2.SR/Meta-analysis with low-quality clinical trials or of studies with inconsistent findings 3.Cohort study 4.Case control study
С	Consensus; usual practice; expert opinion; disease-oriented evidence (e.g., physiologic or surrogate endpoints); case series for studies of diagnosis, treatment, prevention, or screening.	

<sup>\*</sup>Outcomes that matter to patients (e.g., morbidity, mortality, symptom improvement, quality of life). [Adapted from Ebell MH, Siwek J, Weiss BD, et al. Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT): a patient-centered approach to grading evidence in the medical literature. Am Fam Physician 2004;69:548-56. https://www.aafp.org/pubs/afp/issues/2004/0201/p548.html.]



Modified October 2025

### References

- 1. American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. 9. Pharmacologic Approaches to Glycemic Treatment: Standards of Care in Diabetes-2025. Diabetes Care. 2025 Jan 1;48(Supplement\_1):S181-S206.
- 2. Perkovic V, Tuttle KR, Rossing P, et al. Effects of Semaglutide on Chronic Kidney Disease in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2024 Jul 11;391(2):109-121.
- 3. Holman RR, Coleman RL, Chan JCN, et al. Effects of acarbose on cardiovascular and diabetes outcomes in patients with coronary heart disease and impaired glucose tolerance (ACE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017 Nov;5(11):877-886. Erratum in: Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2017 Nov;5(11):e7. Erratum in: Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2019 May;7(5):e5.
- 4. Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Lancet. 1998 Sep 12;352(9131):854-65. Erratum in: Lancet 1998 Nov 7;352(9139):1558.
- 5. Selvin E, Bolen S, Yeh HC, et al. Cardiovascular outcomes in trials of oral diabetes medications: a systematic review. Arch Intern Med. 2008 Oct 27;168(19):2070-80.
- 6. White WB, Cannon CP, Heller SR, et al. Alogliptin after acute coronary syndrome in patients with type 2 diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1327-35.
- 7.FDA. FDA drug safety communication: FDA adds warnings about heart failure risk to labels of type 2 diabetes medicines containing saxagliptin and alogliptin. Content current as of March 7, 2018.https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drugsafety/ucm486096.htm. (Accessed August 9, 2022).
- 8. Scirica BM, Bhatt DL, Braunwald E, et al. Saxagliptin and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med. 2013 Oct 3;369(14):1317-26.
- 9. Green JB, Bethel MA, Armstrong PW, et al. Effect of Sitagliptin on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015 Jul 16;373(3):232-42. Erratum in: N Engl J Med. 2015 Aug 6;373(6):586.
- 10. Rosenstock J, Perkovic V, Johansen OE, et al. Effect of Linagliptin vs Placebo on Major Cardiovascular Events in Adults With Type 2 Diabetes and High Cardiovascular and Renal Risk: The CARMELINA Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2019 Jan 1;321(1):69-79.
- 11. Rosenstock J, Kahn SE, Johansen OE, et al. Effect of Linagliptin vs Glimepiride on Major Adverse Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes: The CAROLINA Randomized Clinical Trial, JAMA, 2019 Sep 24:322(12):1155-1166. Erratum in: JAMA, 2019 Dec 3:322(21):2138.
- 12. Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, et al. Dulaglutide and cardiovascular outcomes in type 2 diabetes (REWIND): a double-blind, randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2019 Jul 13;394(10193):121-130.
- 13. Holman RR, Bethel MA, Mentz RJ, et al. Effects of Once-Weekly Exenatide on Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2017 Sep 28;377(13):1228-1239.
- 14. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2016 Jul 28;375(4):311-22.
- 15. McMurray JJV, Freeman MW, Massaro J, et al. 32-OR:the Bexagliflozin Efficacy and Safety Trial (BEST): a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, Phase III, clinical trial. Diabetes 1 June 2020;69(Supplement\_1):32-OR.
- 16. Marso SP, Bain SC, Consoli A, et al. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2016 Nov 10;375(19):1834-1844.
- 17. McGuire DK, Marx N, Mulvagh SL, et al. Oral Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in High-Risk Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2025 Mar 29.
- 18. ORIGIN Trial Investigators, Gerstein HC, Bosch J, et al. Basal insulin and cardiovascular and other outcomes in dysglycemia. N Engl J Med. 2012 Jul 26;367(4):319-28.
- 19. NAVIGATOR Study Group, Holman RR, Haffner SM, et al. Effect of nateglinide on the incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular events. N Engl J Med. 2010 Apr 22;362(16):1463-76. Erratum in: N Engl J Med. 2010 May 6;362(18):1748.
- 20. Cannon CP, Pratley R, Dagogo-Jack S, et al. Cardiovascular Outcomes with Ertugliflozin in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2020 Oct 8;383(15):1425-1435.
- 21. Neal B, Perkovic V, Matthews DR. Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2017 Nov 23;377(21):2099.
- 22. Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, et al. Canagliflozin and Renal Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes and Nephropathy. N Engl J Med. 2019 Jun 13;380(24):2295-2306.
- 23. Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, et al. Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2019 Jan 24;380(4):347-357.
- 24. Heerspink HJL, Stefánsson BV, Correa-Rotter R, et al. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. N Engl J Med. 2020 Oct 8;383(15):1436-1446.
- 25. McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, et al. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med. 2019 Nov 21;381(21):1995-2008.
- 26. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, et al. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2015 Nov 26;373(22):2117-28.
- 27. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, et al. Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes with Empagliflozin in Heart Failure. N Engl J Med. 2020 Oct 8;383(15):1413-1424.
- 28. Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, et al. Empagliflozin in Heart Failure with a Preserved Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med. 2021 Oct 14;385(16):1451-1461.
- 29. Kernan WN, Viscoli CM, Furie KL, et al. Pioglitazone after Ischemic Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack. N Engl J Med. 2016 Apr 7;374(14):1321-31.
- 30. Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJ, et al. Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2005 Oct 8;366(9493):1279-89.
- 31. Wilcox R, Bousser MG, Betteridge DJ, et al. Effects of pioglitazone in patients with type 2 diabetes with or without previous stroke: results from PROactive (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events 04). Stroke. 2007 Mar;38(3):865-73.
- 32. Perkovic V, de Zeeuw D, Mahaffey KW, et al. Canagliflozin and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: results from the CANVAS Program randomised clinical trials. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2018 Sep;6(9):691-704.
- 33. Mosenzon O, Wiviott SD, Heerspink HJL, et al. The Effect of Dapagliflozin on Albuminuria in DECLARE-TIMI 58. Diabetes Care. 2021 Aug;44(8):1805-1815.
- 34. Kwon S, Kim YC, Park JY, et al. The Long-term Effects of Metformin on Patients With Type 2 Diabetic Kidney Disease. Diabetes Care. 2020 May;43(5):948-955.
- 35. Gerstein HC, Colhoun HM, Dagenais GR, et al. Dulaglutide and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: an exploratory analysis of the REWIND randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2019 Jul 13;394(10193):131-138 [abstract].
- 36. Bhatt DL, Szarek M, Pitt B, et al. Sotagliflozin in Patients with Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jan 14;384(2):129-139.
- 37. Zhou Y, Huang Y, Ji X, et al. Pioglitazone for the Primary and Secondary Prevention of Cardiovascular and Renal Outcomes in Patients with or at High Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Meta-Analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2020 May 1;105(5):dgz252.
- 38. American Diabetes Association Professional Practice Committee. 11. Chronic Kidney Disease and Risk Management: Standards of Care in Diabetes-2025. Diabetes Care. 2025 Jan 1;48(Supplement\_1):S239-S251.
- 39. Diabetes Canada. Full guidelines. https://guidelines.diabetes.ca/cpg. (Accessed December 22, 2024).
- 40. The EMPA-KIDNEY Collaborative Group; Herrington WG, Staplin N, et al. Empagliflozin in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease. N Engl J Med. 2023 Jan 12;388(2):117-127.
- 41. Solomon SD, McMurray JJV, Claggett B, et al. Dapagliflozin in Heart Failure with Mildly Reduced or Preserved Ejection Fraction. N Engl J Med. 2022 Aug 27.
- 42. Bhatt DL, Szarek M, Steg PG, et al. Sotagliflozin in Patients with Diabetes and Recent Worsening Heart Failure. N Engl J Med. 2021 Jan 14;384(2):117-128.
- 43. Packer M, Zile MR, Kramer CM, et al. Tirzepatide for Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction and Obesity. N Engl J Med. 2025 Jan 30;392(5):427-437
- 44. Bonaca MP, Catarig AM, Houlind K, et al. Semaglutide and walking capacity in people with symptomatic peripheral artery disease and type 2 diabetes (STRIDE): a phase 3b, double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2025 May 3;405(10489):1580-1593.
- 45. Lincoff AM, Brown-Frandsen K, Colhoun HM, et al. Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Obesity without Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2023 Dec 14;389(24):2221-2232.
- 46. Wang HH, Lin SH, Hung SY, et al. Renal Protective Effect of Metformin in Type 2 Diabetes Patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2025 Apr 22;110(5):1224-1234.
- 47. Husain M, Birkenfeld AL, Donsmark M, et al. Oral Semaglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2019 Aug 29;381(9):841-851

Users of this resource are cautioned to use their own professional judgment and consult any other necessary or appropriate sources prior to making clinical judgments based on the content of this document. Our editors have researched the information with input from experts, government agencies, and national organizations. Information and internet links in this article were current as of the date of publication.

Copyright © 2025 by Therapeutic Research Center. All Rights Reserved. trchealthcare.com